

NOV 05 2004 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10015216 -1

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Heather N. Bean et al

Confirmation No.: 9258

Application No.: 09/934879

Examiner: Huynh, Ba

Filing Date: Aug 22, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2179

Title: Method And System For Simultaneous Display Of A Video Sequence In Multiple Time-Offset Windows

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- (X) Response/Amendment () Petition to extend time to respond
() New fee as calculated below () Supplemental Declaration
(X) No additional fee
() Other: _____ (fee \$ _____)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	23	MINUS	41	= 0	X \$18	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS	4	MINUS	4	= 0	X \$88	\$ 0
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$300	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$110.00	2ND MONTH \$430.00	3RD MONTH \$980.00	4TH MONTH \$1530.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Heather N. Bean et al

By Thomas M. Croft

Thomas M. Croft

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 44,051

Date: Nov. 5, 2004

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

NOV 05 2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
IP Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80528-9899

PATENT APPLICATION**ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10015216-1**

**IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor(s): Heather N. Bean, Mark N. Robins, and Matthew Flach

Serial No.: 09/934,879

Examiner: Ba Huynh

Filing Date: August 22, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2173

Title: Method and System for Simultaneous Display of a Video Sequence in Multiple Time-Offset Windows

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231**

Sir:

This is in response to the office action mailed on September 16, 2004.

Remarks

In the office action mailed on September 16, 2004, the examiner withdrew the allowability that had been indicated in the office action mailed on June 17, 2004 and rejected claims 42-64 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,574,416 (Posa et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,650,826 (Hatta). Claims 42-64 are still pending in the application.

The following is a quotation of the requirements the examiner must meet to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness under § 103(a):

First, there must some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991); MPEP § 2142 (emphasis added).

HP Docket No. 10015216-1

page 1 of 4

Serial No. 09/934,879